

CMH and SUD Provider Network FY2023 Contract Monitoring Aggregate Report

OVERVIEW

On an ongoing and annual basis, Region 10 PIHP monitors and evaluates its Provider Network to ensure compliance with federal and state regulations, as well as contractual requirements. Concurrently, the PIHP is required to monitor and evaluate any entity to which it has delegated a managed care administrative function to ensure the provider is appropriately managing its charged delegated responsibilities. The PIHP monitors its Provider Network on an ongoing basis with formal reviews taking place annually.

The purpose of this report is to summarize the findings of the FY2023 Region 10 PIHP Contract Monitoring evaluations of the CMH and SUD Provider Network System.

PIHP NETWORK

Region 10 PIHP manages many managed care administrative functions centrally, while contracting with four (4) CMH Providers and sixteen (16) SUD Providers (Treatment, Prevention and Recovery Housing) for the management of specific delegated administrative functions and service requirements. These responsibilities are detailed in the PIHP / Provider contracts as applicable.

ANNUAL MONITORING COMPONENTS

The Annual monitoring process included a Preliminary Desk Audit Review. This internal review included key PIHP staff (Subject Matter Experts) reviewing materials that the PIHP already had on file for a specific Provider. Following the Preliminary Desk Audit Review, a Desk Audit request was sent to each Provider, which consisted of Providers submitting operational documents to the PIHP where Subject Matter Experts reviewed the submitted materials. These materials included Provider policies and processes, quality improvement efforts and performance reports for overall compliance and conformance.

This year, for the first time in three years, the PIHP was able to conduct on-site visits with all Region 10 contracted providers. At the on-site review, the Contract Monitoring Team reviewed training records, privileging & credentialing records and reviewed the contract monitoring tool with each provider. Supplemental evidence was accepted by the PIHP during the visit. Any outstanding items at the completion of the visit were requested to be submitted within 2 business days.

Following each review, the Providers were issued formal Contract Monitoring Reports, which detailed specific findings and overall performance. Any finding that did not receive a compliance score of "Met" required the Provider to submit a corrective action plan to the PIHP within thirty (30) days of report issuance. Provider Action Plans address steps taken to assess and improve performance, measurement criteria and timeframes for issue resolution.

SCORING STANDARDS

For each performance standard within the applicable domain areas, the Provider's compliance was assessed to be Met, Not Met, or Not Applicable (N/A). For all standards where the Provider was

determined to have "Met" compliance or were deemed "Not Applicable", no follow-up action was required. For any "Not Met" scores, a corrective action plan was required from the Provider.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Renewal of contracts and continuation of delegated managed care functions. For FY2023 and prior the term delegated is not used to exclusively identify managed care functions.
- 2. Examination of overall monitoring compliance scores to address outliers.
- 3. Specialized reviews of Providers where appropriate to ensure continued compliance of contractual requirements, including scheduling internal review meetings and facilitating additional onsite visits with Providers to further assess performance capability.

EXHIBITS:

Exhibit A: FY2023 Contract Monitoring Aggregate Report Scores

AVERAGE SCORES OVERALL

CMH PROVIDERS

MONITORING TIMEFRAME	GHS	LAPEER CMH	SANILAC CMH	ST. CLAIR CMH	
FY2023 Annual	86%	96%	96%	92%	
		_	93%		

SUD PROVIDERS – TREATMENT

MONITORING TIMEFRAME	AICC	BIOMED	CCSHGC	CCSEM	СРІ	FOH	NPI	SHRC	SAHLM	SC CMH	SCMH	
FY2023 Annual	77%	88%	82%	89%	88%	98%	80%	89%	92%	81%	90%	
SUD Treatment Network Average:									87%			

SUD PROVIDERS – PREVENTION

MONITORING TIMEFRAME	AICC	CCSHGC	CCSEM	FOH	GCPC	НОРЕ	IMPACT	sccs	
FY2023 Annual	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	82%	
SUD Prevention Network Average:									95%

SUD PROVIDER – RECOVERY HOUSING

MONITORING TIMEFRAME	GLRM	
FY2023 Annual	89%	
SUD Recovery Housing	89%	

CMH PROVIDERS

DOMAIN	GHS	LAPEER CMH	SANILAC CMH	ST. CLAIR CMH	CMH NETWORK AVERAGES (By Domain)						
PART 1: CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS											
Quality Improvement	74%	94%	85%	80%	83%						
Information Systems & Data Management	89%	89%	100%	100%	94%						
Collaboration with Community	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Financial Management	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Corporate Compliance	92%	100%	100%	100%	98%						
Advance Directives	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Provider Network	90%	100%	100%	80%	93%						
Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%						
PART 2:	CONTRACTUAL REQU	JIREMENTS / DELEGAT	ED FUNCTIONS								
QAPIP	80%	100%	100%	80%	90%						
Performance Measurement	67%	83%	83%	67%	75%						
Staff Qualifications & Training	43%	80%	100%	100%	80%						
Utilization Management	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Access	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Customer Service	89%	100%	100%	100%	97%						
Enrollee Grievance Process	67%	100%	100%	100%	80%						
Enrollee Rights & Protections	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Subcontracts & Delegation	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%						
Provider Network Selection & Management	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Credentialing	90%	100%	100%	100%	97%						
Coordination of Care	100%	50%	50%	50%	63%						
Appeals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Disclosures	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
PART 3: OUTSTANDING PLAN OF CORRECTION ITEMS / PART 4: SUBCONTRACTOR SITE VISITS											
Outstanding Plan of Correction Items	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
Subcontractor Site Visits	100%	100%	100%	75%	94%						
OVERALL (By Provider)	86%	96%	96%	92%							
CMH Network Average (Of all Domains):											

SUD PROVIDERS – TREATMENT

DOMAIN	AICC	BIOMED	CCSEM	CCSHGC	СРІ	FOH	NPI	SAHLM	SC CMH	SCMH	SHRC	SUD Treatment NETWORK AVERAGES (By Domain)
		PART 1: C	ONTRACT	UAL REQUIR	EMENTS							
Quality Improvement	75%	100%	75%	100%	75%	100%	75%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	89%
Information Systems	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	67%	100%	75%	86%
Collaboration with Community	50%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	50%	50%	50%	77%
Financial Management	75%	88%	88%	88%	88%	88%	75%	100%	100%	83%	88%	87%
Corporate Compliance	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	89%	N/A	N/A	100%	98%
Training	50%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	50%	50%
Administrative Capacity	40%	92%	90%	70%	91%	100%	73%	83%	75%	100%	85%	81%
Recipient & Enrollee Rights	100%	100%	100%	33%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	93%
Women's Specialty	50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	83%
Opioid Health Home	N/A	86%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	93%
Jail Based MAT Program	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
	PART 2: CC	NTRACTUA	L REQUIRE	MENTS / DE	LEGATED	FUNCTIO	NS					
QAPIP	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	67%
Performance Measurement	40%	60%	100%	20%	83%	83%	60%	100%	80%	100%	67%	73%
Staff Qualifications & Training	33%	67%	33%	33%	67%	100%	33%	67%	N/A	100%	67%	59%
Utilization Management	100%	100%	100%	67%	67%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	93%
Access	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Customer Service	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	N/A	N/A	100%	94%
Enrollee Grievance Process	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Enrollee Rights & Protections	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Credentialing	78%	71%	75%	71%	75%	100%	75%	88%	100%	100%	100%	85%
Coordination of Care	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Appeals	67%	67%	100%	100%	67%	100%	67%	67%	N/A	N/A	67%	78%
Disclosures	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	97%
		T 3: OUTSTA	NDING PL	AN OF COR	RECTION I	ΓEMS						
Outstanding Plan of Correction Items	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
OVERALL (By Provider)	77%	88%	89%	82%	88%	98%	80%	92%	81%	90%	89%	
SUD Treatment Network Average (Of all Domains):										87%		

SUD PROVIDERS - PREVENTION

DOMAIN	AICC	CCSEM	ссѕнбс	FOH	GCPC	НОРЕ	IMPACT	sccs	SUD Prevention NETWORK AVERAGES (By Domain)	
			PART :	1: CONTRAC	TUAL REQUII	REMENTS				
Quality Improvement	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Information Systems & Data Management	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	95%	
Financial Management	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0%	100%	100%	0%	50%	
Corporate Compliance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Training	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	50%	100%	100%	100%	89%	
Administrative Capacity	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89%	100%	100%	67%	89%	
Disclosures	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Recipient & Enrollee Rights	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Prevention	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	80%	96%	
PART 2: OUTSTANDING PLAN OF CORRECTION ITEMS										
Outstanding Plan of Correction Items	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
OVERALL (By Provider)	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	82%		
SUD Prevention Network Average (Of all Domains):									95%	

Regarding SUD Providers contracted with the PIHP for both Treatment and Prevention Services: As the PIHP has created separate Contract Monitoring Tools for both SUD Provider Treatment and Prevention Programs, performance standards in several domains may be duplicated. The PIHP has addressed this by including all items on the Treatment Services Monitoring Tool and marking appropriate duplicated standards as "not applicable" on the Prevention Services Monitoring Tool.

SUD PROVIDER – RECOVERY HOUSING

DOMAIN	GLRM	SUD Recovery NETWORK AVE Domai	RAGES (By						
PART 1: CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS									
Quality Improvement	100%	100%	6						
Information Systems & Data Management	100%	100%	6						
Service Coordination	100%	100%							
Financial Management	75%	75%							
Corporate Compliance	88%	88%							
Training	67%	67%							
Administrative Capacity	83%	83%							
Recipient & Enrollee Rights	100%	100%	6						
PART 2: OUTSTANDING PLAN OF CORRECTION ITEMS									
Outstanding Plan of Correction Items	100%	100%	ó						
OVERALL (By Provider)	89%								
SUD Recovery Housing Network Average (Of all Domains): 89%									

Provider Names & Acronyms

AICC Alcohol Information and Counseling Center

BIOMED Biomed Behavioral Healthcare
CCSEM Catholic Charities of Southeast MI

CCSHGC Catholic Charities of Shiawassee and Genesee Counties

CPI Community Programs, Inc.

FOH Flint Odyssey House

GCPC Genesee County Prevention Coalition

GHS Genesee Health System

GLRM Great Lakes Recovery Mission

HOPE Hope Network

IMPACT Incorporation to Maximize Personal Achievement with Community Training

LCMH Lapeer County Community Mental Health

NPI New Paths, Inc.

SAHLM Salvation Army Harbor Light Macomb
SC CMH St. Clair County Community Mental Health

SCCS Sanilac County Counseling Services

SCMH Sanilac County Community Mental Health

SHRC Sacred Heart Rehabilitation Center